1	BEFO POLLUTION CONTR	ORE THE ROL HEARINGS	BOARD
2	STATE OF	WASHINGTON	
3	IN THE MATTER OF GUSSIE GELB and VINCENT	)	
4	D. MILLER, INC.	)	
5	Appellant,		РСНВ 994
6	v.	, ·	RULING ON MOTION AND FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
7	PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY	,	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
8	Respondent.	)	

Respondent's motion to dismiss is denied.

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged opacity violation of Respondent's Section 9.03 of Regulation I, came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Chris Smith, Chairman and Art Brown, Member) convened at the Seattle facility of the State Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals on June 24, 1976. William A. Harrison, Hearing Examiner, presided. Respondent elected a formal hearing.

Appellants, Gussie Gelb and Vincent D. Miller, Inc., appeared by Ann C. Mattice, an employee of Vincent D. Miller, Inc.

Respondent appeared by and through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.
Olympia Court Reporter, Juana Tingdale, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. From testimony heard and exhibits examined the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260 Respondent has filed its

Regulation I with the Pollution Control Hearings Board and official notice thereof is hereby taken. Section 9.03(b) of said Regulation I is as follows:

"After July 1, 1975, it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or allow the emission of any air contaminant for a period or periods aggregating more than three (3) minutes in any one hour, which is; (1) Darker in shade than that designated as No. 1 (20% density) on the Ringelmann Chart, as published by the United States Bureau of Mines; or (2) Of such opacity as to obscure an observer's view to a degree equal to or greater than does smoke described in subsection 9.03(b)(1)..."

II.

Mrs. Gussie Gelb is the owner of the Samuel Gelb Bldg.

(4534 University Way N.E., Seattle, Washington), and was so at all times relevant to this appeal. Vincent D. Miller, Inc., was the manager of that same building during all times relevant to this appeal.

The relationship between Mrs. Gelb and Vincent D. Miller, Inc. is set out in a written contract (A-1).

RULING ON MOTION AND FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - 2

 $^{21}$ 

Ŧ	T	$\mathbf{T}$	
т	T	1	٠

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the contract (A-1) Vincent D. Miller is referred to as the "agent" of Mrs. Gelb. The preamble of the contract further describes their relation:

> Agent is hereby designated as the exclusive agent and representative of Owner for the purpose of managing for Owner's account [the property here involved].

Paragraph four (4) of the contract (A-1) states the following:

Agent shall do everything reasonably necessary for the proper management of the property, including periodic inspections, the supervision of maintenance in arranging for such improvements, alterations and repairs as may be required of Owner. No improvements, alterations or repair work costing more than \$200.00 shall be made by Agent without Owner's prior authorization. However, in case of emergency which requires immediate repairs or alterations, if Owner is not readily available for consultation, Agent shall use its own discretion regarding same.

Consistent with the above-quoted contract language, we find that Mrs. Gelb has not actively participated in the physical operation of the Samuel Gelb Bldg., nor was she present there the day of this alleged violation; rather, the building was physically operated by and was under the control of Vincent D. Miller, Inc. at all times relevant to this appeal.

IV.

On February 23, 1976 air contaminants were emitted from the boller stack of the Samuel Gelb Bldg. Such emission was of nine (9) minutes duration and varied between Nos. 4 and 5 on the Ringelmann Chart.

v.

Notice and Order of Civil Penalty No. 2714 was served on 26 |both Mrs. Gelb and Vincent D. Miller, Inc.

27 RULING ON MOTION AND FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - 3

VI.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

Although the facts in this paragraph do not bear upon whether a violation has occurred, we find that Vincent D. Miller, Inc. sought service of the furnace promptly after receiving the Notice and Order of Civil Penalty. We find further that violations of the kind alleged need not occur if the heating plant of the Samuel Gelb Bldg. is maintained in proper working order. The direction of drafts or winds and the location of other buildings is entirely irrelevant under Section 9.03 of Regulation 1 which centers upon the initial release of contaminants into the ambient aır.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

II.

Emissions from the Samuel Gelb Bldg. on February 23, 1976 have violated Section 9.03 of Regulation 1.

Section 9.03 of Regulation I does not provide that a building owner, per se, is liable for violative emissions from a building. Rather in the words of Section 9.03, "it shall be unlawful to cause or allow." We find that Mrs. Gelb did not personally cause or allow the emission in However, we conclude that Mrs. Gelb's relationship with question. Vincent D. Miller, Inc. was that of a principal and agent and, as such, vicarious liability can be imposed upon Mrs. Gelb for the actions or omissions of Vincent D. Miller, Inc.

27 RULING ON MOTION AND FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - 4

III.

On February 23, 1976 Appellant Vincent D. Miller, Inc., an agent of Mrs. Gelb, caused or allowed the emission of air contaminants in violation of Section 9.03 of Regulation I for which a \$50.00 civil penalty was properly assessed under Section 3.29. Mrs. Gelb, as the principal, is also liable to Respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency for the penalty imposed for the acts of her agent.

IV.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

ORDER

The violation and civil penalty imposed by Notice and Order of Civil Penalty No. 2714 are each hereby affirmed as to Gussie Gelb, and as to Vincent D. Miller, Inc.; except, that \$25.00 of the \$50.00 penalty is suspended provided that no further violations from this source occur within six months from the date of this Order.

DATED this <u>alst</u> day of October, 1976.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

ART BROWN, Chairman

CHRIS SMITH, Member

RULING ON MOTION AND FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER - 5